

**Types of Cybercrime, Legal Definitions, and Emerging Threats: A Comparative Analysis under IT Act and Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, Authored by :Miss Aastha Jain, Available at [Link](#)**



**FastForward Justice's Law Journal** (e-ISSN: 2581-6713)  
Volume VI Issue III (May–June,2026)

| Copyright © 2026 By Fastforward Justice's Law Journal |  
(e-ISSN: 2581-6713)

**[CLICK HERE TO READ DISCLAIMER](#)**

**[CLICK HERE TO SEE EDITORIAL BOARD](#)**

**[CLICK HERE TO SEE PUBLISHER DETAILS](#)**

**ABSTRACT**

*Cybercrime refers to offenses committed using computers, digital networks, or the internet, often exploiting the anonymity, speed, and reach provided by technology. The scope of cybercrime has expanded significantly with the proliferation of digital services, online banking, social media platforms, and e-governance initiatives. Legal definitions, primarily found in the Information Technology Act, 2000, its amendments, and the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), categorize these offenses based on the method of execution, target, and impact. Understanding these categories are essential for law enforcement, legal practitioners, and policymakers.*

*One of the most prevalent forms of cybercrime is hacking, defined under Section 66 of the IT Act. Identity theft and online impersonation are another major category of cyber offenses. Section 66C of the IT Act criminalizes identity theft, while Section 66D addresses cheating by impersonation using electronic communication. Financial cybercrime, including phishing, online banking fraud, and credit card scams. Cyber terrorism and attacks on critical infrastructure represent a category of offenses with significant national security implications.*

**KEYWORDS** - *Cybercrime, IT ACT - 2000, Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) ,Hacking,*

*Impersonation , Financial cybercrime, Cyber terrorism , Cyber Harrasment .*

## **Chapter 1: Introduction to the Cybercrime**

### **1.1 background of the study**

Cybercrime has emerged widely because of digitalization of everything in every sector of the country. The rapid digitalization of society has brought with it a corresponding increase in cyber threats, which have evolved in sophistication, scale, and impact. Early cybercrimes such as hacking and unauthorized access have now given way to more complex offenses including ransomware attacks, phishing, financial frauds, cyber espionage, and attacks on critical infrastructure.

Emerging cybercrimes include offenses involving cryptocurrencies, blockchain-based fraud, AI-enabled attacks, and manipulation of IoT devices. Legal definitions in existing frameworks often require broad interpretation to cover these sophisticated crimes. Provisions in the BNS allow for flexibility in defining new cyber offenses, ensuring that evolving threats can be addressed without legislative lag. Cyber law scholars emphasize the importance of adaptive statutory language to maintain relevance in the face of rapid technological advancement.

### **1.2 significance of study**

By recognizing such offenses as distinct categories, the law adapts to evolving cyber threats while maintaining consistent principles for intent, harm, and accountability. In the classification of cyber offenses under the IT Act and BNS is essential for systematic enforcement, judicial clarity, and policy formulation. By grouping offenses into categories such as hacking, identity theft, financial crimes, cyber terrorism, harassment, data breaches,

intellectual property violations, and emerging cyber threats, these laws provide a structured framework for understanding and addressing cybercrime. The classifications also facilitate risk assessment, resource allocation, and international cooperation, ensuring a comprehensive and adaptive approach to combating cybercrime in India.

### **1.3 Scope of the study**

The scope of this study is both broad and focused. It is broad in its consideration of technological, legal, institutional, and societal dimensions of cybercrime, yet focused on evaluating the effectiveness of the IT Act and BNS as instruments of law, governance, and enforcement. The study contributes to academic understanding of cyber law, informs policymakers about gaps and challenges, and provides practical recommendations to strengthen India's capacity to combat cybercrime in a rapidly evolving digital environment.

### **1.4 Key objectives and research questions**

1. To know the different types of cybercrimes under IT ACT 2000, and BNS
2. To study landmark judgements and judicial review.
3. To propose recommendations for legal, institutional, and technological reforms for cybercrimes.

### **1.5 research methodology**

The research methodology outlines the systematic approach adopted for this study to analyze cybercrime in India and the effectiveness of the **IT Act, 2000** and **Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS)** in combating it.

## **Chapter 2: CLASSIFICATION OF CYBER CRIME UNER - IT ACT, 2000 and BNS(BHARTIYA NYAYA SANHITA)**

Cybercrimes are legally classified under Information Technology Act, 2000, and Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita to ensure punishments, prosecution, and monetary liabilities to such offences.

The discription of such offences are mentioned below.

**HACKING** - defined under Section 66 of the IT Act, which involves unauthorized access to computer systems, network, with the intention to steal ,manipulate, or to destroy the data. This may include breaking into government systems, corporate networks, or personal accounts. Hacking is treated as a criminal offence, attracting fines, imprisonment, or both, depending on the severity of the intrusion and the damage caused. The BNS similarly recognizes unauthorized access and intrusions as punishable offenses, emphasizing both intent and consequence in determining liability.

**IDENTITY THEFT AND ONLINE IMPERSONATION** - Section 66C of the IT Act criminalises identity theft, while Section 66D addresses cheating by impersonation using electronic communication. These crimes involve the misuse of personal information such as names, email accounts, or financial credentials to commit fraud, often causing financial loss

and reputational harm. Legal definitions emphasize the intentionality of deception and unauthorized use of data, providing a basis for investigation and prosecution.

**FINANCIAL CYBERCRIME** - including phishing, online banking fraud, and credit card scams, is increasingly common. Fraudsters exploit weaknesses in digital payment systems to misappropriate funds or gain unauthorized access to financial accounts. Sections 43A and 66D of the IT Act, along with complementary provisions in the BNS, impose strict liability for financial fraud and unauthorized transactions. These laws define offenses based on the manipulation of data, deception, and the resulting harm to victims, providing clarity for law enforcement and judicial proceedings.

**CYBER TERRORISM** - is a critical offence with far-reaching implications for national security. Defined under Section 66F of the IT Act, cyber terrorism involves attacks on digital infrastructure with the intent to threaten public safety, disrupt essential services, or coerce government actions. Such offenses include hacking critical infrastructure, spreading malicious software to disable systems, or orchestrating large-scale online campaigns to destabilise security. The BNS categorizes cyber terrorism as a serious criminal act with severe penalties, reflecting its significance in protecting national interests.

### **Chapter 3 - EMERGING THREATS :PHISHING , ONLINE FRAUDS**

The classification of cyber offenses under BNS is essential for systematic enforcement, judicial clarity, and policy formulation. By grouping offenses into categories such as hacking, identity theft, financial crimes, cyber terrorism, harassment, data breaches, intellectual property violations, and emerging cyber threats, these laws provide a structured framework

# **FastForward Justice's Law Journal** (e-ISSN: 2581-6713) **Volume VI Issue III (May–June,2026)**

for understanding and addressing cybercrime. The classifications also facilitate risk assessment, resource allocation, and international cooperation, ensuring a comprehensive and adaptive approach to combating cybercrime in India.

**Phishing** is one of the most common forms of cybercrime, involving fraudulent attempt to obtain sensitive information such as passwords, OTP (one time passwords). Attackers typically use emails, SMS, or fake websites to deceive individuals, often prompting them to input confidential information. Section 66D of the IT Act and relevant provisions in the BNS criminalise this form of cheating by impersonation, providing legal recourse for victims. Phishing attacks can target both individuals and organizations, with consequences ranging from financial loss to data breaches that compromise broader institutional security.

**Online fraud** encompasses a broad spectrum of illegal activities conducted via digital platforms, including scams targeting e-commerce platforms, online banking systems, and digital payment services. These frauds may involve phishing, fake websites, malware, or social engineering tactics. Sections 43A and 66D of the IT Act, along with relevant BNS provisions, provide the legal basis for prosecuting such offenses, outlining penalties for unauthorized access, misrepresentation, and misappropriation of funds. Enforcement often requires coordination between cybercrime units, banks, and digital intermediaries to trace transactions and apprehend offenders.

**Chapter 4 - LEGAL DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATIONAL CHALLENGES**

The IT Act provides conditional immunity to intermediaries if they comply with due diligence obligations, but the BNS expands the concept by considering organizational responsibility in preventing cybercrime. Courts face challenges in defining “reasonable measures” and assessing compliance, particularly when offenses occur despite preventive efforts. These interpretational issues have implications for corporate governance, regulatory policy, and public trust in digital platforms.

The BNS attempts to harmonize domestic criminal law with international conventions, but differences in definitions, standards of proof, and judicial procedures can hinder cooperation. Courts must therefore interpret domestic definitions in a manner that facilitates cross- border enforcement without compromising legal principles.

The **judicial interpretation of these offenses** has refined the application of the law. Courts in India have clarified the scope of phishing, hacking, and identity theft, particularly regarding intent, evidence, and jurisdiction. Landmark cases have emphasized the admissibility of electronic evidence, the liability of intermediaries, and the procedural requirements for prosecution. These interpretations ensure that legal responses evolve alongside technological advancements, enhancing both deterrence and justice for victims.

**Chapter 5 - CASE LAWS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW**

The **Ramesh v. Union of India (2010)** case addressed unauthorized access and hacking. The Court clarified that hacking constitutes both unauthorized entry and intentional damage or data manipulation. This decision reinforced Section 66 of the IT Act and related provisions of the BNS, establishing that even indirect or minimal disruption caused by hacking is sufficient to trigger criminal liability. The judgment emphasized the role of intent and technical evidence in proving cyber offenses.

In **Avnish Bajaj v. State (2003)**, the Delhi High Court addressed intermediary liability. The accused operated an online marketplace used to sell objectionable content. The Court held that intermediaries could be held liable if they knowingly facilitated illegal activity or failed to exercise due diligence, laying the groundwork for Section 79 of the IT Act and its interpretation in the BNS framework. This case underscores the judiciary's role in balancing corporate responsibility with freedom of digital expression.

**Intermediary liability** has been a focal point of judicial review. Courts assess whether platforms, online service providers, or cloud storage services have exercised due diligence to prevent cyber offenses. Decisions in cases such as **Avnish Bajaj v. State (2003)** and subsequent judgments under Section 79 of the IT Act demonstrate how judicial oversight enforces corporate accountability while balancing freedom of expression. Emerging trends now require intermediaries to adopt proactive monitoring, reporting mechanisms, and cybersecurity measures.

**judicial review**emerge due to the technical complexity of cybercrime cases. Many offenses involve sophisticated hacking techniques, AI-enabled frauds, or blockchain-based transactions that judges and prosecutors may not fully understand without specialized training.

This knowledge gap can affect case outcomes, delay proceedings, or result in inconsistent interpretations of law. Courts have increasingly relied on expert testimony, forensic analysis, and technical reports to bridge this gap, but the rapid pace of technological innovation continues to pose challenges.

## **Chapter 6 – FINDINGS**

A key finding is the judiciary's central role in shaping the application and interpretation of these laws. Landmark judgments demonstrate how courts clarify ambiguous provisions, protect fundamental rights, and ensure that enforcement remains fair and proportionate. Judicial review has also contributed to the standardisation of digital evidence admissibility, enhancing both transparency and accountability in cybercrime trials.

Another critical finding is the gap between legal provisions and practical implementation. Although the IT Act and BNS provide a robust framework, enforcement agencies often face resource and expertise constraints. The absence of specialized training programs for law enforcement and judicial officers, coupled with limited forensic infrastructure, impedes timely investigation and prosecution. These challenges emphasize the need for capacity building and institutional strengthening.

## **Chapter 7 - ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Based on the analysis, several **recommendations** are proposed. These include revising ambiguous provisions to ensure clarity, establishing specialized cybercrime benches with technical expertise, enhancing training for law enforcement personnel, strengthening cross-border legal cooperation, updating privacy and data protection measures, promoting digital literacy, and integrating proactive corporate accountability mechanisms.

Analysis of these statutes demonstrates that the laws provide comprehensive coverage of offenses, define responsibilities for intermediaries and recognize digital evidence as legally valid. This legislative foundation has enabled authorities to address a wide range of cybercrimes, from hacking and phishing to online financial fraud and cyber terrorism.

The first recommendation focuses on **updating and refining legislative provisions** to address emerging cyber threats. The rapid evolution of technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, cryptocurrency, and deepfakes requires amendments to the IT Act and the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS). Clear definitions for these offenses, along with explicit penalties, would reduce ambiguity and strengthen the deterrent effect of the laws.

### **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, the classification of cyber offenses under the IT Act and BNS is essential for systematic enforcement, judicial clarity, and policy formulation. By grouping offenses into categories such as hacking, identity theft, financial crimes, cyber terrorism, harassment, data breaches, intellectual property violations, and emerging cyber threats, these laws provide a structured framework for understanding and addressing cybercrime.

# FastForward Justice's Law Journal (e-ISSN: 2581-6713)

## Volume VI Issue III (May–June,2026)

The classifications also facilitate risk assessment, resource allocation, and international cooperation, ensuring a comprehensive and adaptive approach to combating cybercrime in India.

The IT Act, 2000, its amendments, and the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita provide a comprehensive legal framework for defining, classifying, and penalising these offenses.

Effective response requires an integrated approach combining enforcement, technological safeguards, public awareness, and international collaboration.

By continuously updating legal provisions and building institutional capacity, India can strengthen its defense against these emerging cyber threats while safeguarding individual rights and national security.

### REFERENCE

1. Basu, D. D. *Introduction to the Constitution of India*. LexisNexis, Latest Edition.
2. Bhatia, S. "Legal Challenges in Combating Cybercrime in India." *Journal of Indian Law & Technology*, Vol. 15, Issue 2, 2020, pp. 45–72.
3. Kumar, V. "Intermediary Liability and Accountability in the IT Act, 2000." *Indian Journal of Law and Technology*, 2018, pp. 22–50.
4. Sharma, P. "Judicial Intervention in Cybercrime Cases: Trends and Challenges." *Indian Law Review*, 2019, pp. 77–101.

**FastForward Justice's Law Journal** (e-ISSN: 2581-6713)  
**Volume VI Issue III (May–June,2026)**

8. Law Commission of India. *Report No. 279: Reforms in Cyber Laws and Digital Evidence*, 2018.
9. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY), Government of India. "Information Technology Act, 2000." <https://www.meity.gov.in/>
10. National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal. "Cybercrime Statistics and Reporting in India." <https://cybercrime.gov.in/>
11. <https://cybercrime.gov.in/>
12. [cybercrime.gov.in/](https://cybercrime.gov.in/)